October 23, 2023

Mr. Austin Kerr  
Air Quality & GHG Analyst  
The Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR)  
1400 10th St # 100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Opposition to Sites Reservoir’s SB 149 Application – Infrastructure Streamlining

Dear Mr. Kerr,

On behalf of the undersigned organizations, we strongly oppose the Administration’s consideration of the proposed Sites Reservoir’s application to be certified under the new Senate Bill (SB) 149 Infrastructure Streamlining program. The Administration should not consider, or certify, Sites Project Authority’s application for certification under the SB 149 program because the Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR) has not yet issued project eligibility guidelines as recommended by SB 149, and the proposed Sites Reservoir (Sites) is an environmentally destructive and controversial project.

SB 149 was a core part of the Governor’s 11-trailer bill package from June 2023 that significantly changed judicial review, environmental permitting, imperiled species protections, water law, and community engagement among other important laws and policies. SB 149’s purpose is to

“provide unique streamlining benefits…for critical state, regional, and local investments in climate resiliency, safety, and infrastructure maintenance while maintaining the environmental and public engagement benefits of this division for projects that provide the public benefits, including environmental and climate-related benefits…and put people to work as soon as possible.”

Public Resources Code (PRC) § 21189.80(g)(emphasis added).

We agree that our state – indeed the planet – is facing a climate crisis. And we agree that we need to move forward with climate infrastructure quickly. However, Sites Reservoir does not meet the infrastructure criteria as outlined in SB 149, as detailed below.

First and foremost, OPR and the Governor’s Office should not consider or certify Sites under the infrastructure streamlining program because OPR has not yet issued guidelines to determine
project eligibility as recommended under the text of SB 149. See PRC § 21189.82(b). According to OPR’s website, guidelines are expected to be issued in Fall 2023. Issuing a rushed certification in 15 days, especially without these guidelines, risks misapplication of SB 149 by the Administration and continues to needlessly rush this process, furthering the previously rushed legislative process this summer.

Moreover, with or without guidelines, Sites is not a green, environmentally beneficial project. Instead, it is environmentally destructive, controversial, and is beyond the type of green investment intended for streamlining under the SB 149 program. See PRC § 21189.80(g). Sites Reservoir will not fulfill SB 149’s most basic purpose because it does not contribute to statewide climate resiliency or provide more environmental benefits that outweigh the destructive harms. Indeed, approval of Sites is likely to discourage other better, more local, and less expensive solutions to future water supply challenges.

Sites will also have significant impacts to disadvantaged communities due to construction impacts, and the impacts of water diversions and discharges on freshwater flows, temperature, water quality and related impacts to imperiled species. SB 149 explicitly requires water-related projects to “[a]void or minimize significant environmental impacts in any disadvantaged community.” See PRC § 21189.82(c)(1). These impacts are not mitigated in the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Revised Draft Environmental Impact Report (SDEIS/RDEIR), and therefore it is premature and inappropriate for OPR to determine that Sites would meet this critical requirement of SB 149 certification.

Sites is an environmentally destructive project. Public Resources Code section 21189.81(h)(1)(B)(ii) states that any water-related storage project must “minimize the intake or diversion of water except during times of surplus water and prioritize the discharge of water for ecological benefits or to mitigate an emergency, including, but not limited to, dam repair, levee repair, wetland restoration, marshland restoration, or habitat preservation, or other public benefits” (emphasis added). The Sites Project Authority plans to divert water from the Sacramento River at any time that water is legally available, meaning there is sufficient water to meet all water rights with priority and the absolute minimum instream flows required to protect endangered species as required by the State Water Resources Control Board. This means not only would the project divert water from the imperiled Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary during wet years, but even during dry years, when maintaining instream flows is critical to protecting water quality, temperature and commercially, recreationally, and tribally important species. The State Water Resources Control Board is currently in the process of updating water quality requirements for the Bay-Delta watershed, which would likely affect Sites diversions and operations.

Sites does not prioritize water for ecological benefits in its operations. As stated above, that is a clear requirement of SB 149. See PRC § 21189.81(h)(1)(B)(ii). The Sites Project Authority plans to allow each Storage Partner to manage their own water storage space independently, to the extent feasible. The State of California has invested in this project through the Proposition 1 Water Storage Investment Project and is a Storage Partner with Sites Project Authority to secure a block of water intended for wildlife refuges. However, by the project terms, all water deliveries will be treated uniformly, which does not guarantee those water deliveries to refuges, especially in critically dry years. Furthermore, Sites has no available plan for conflict resolution to demonstrate that, in the event water is not available to meet all Partners’ needs, water for ecological benefits would take precedence. Therefore, many of the primary “environmental benefits” are not certain to occur and do not outweigh the considerable impacts the project will cause. See § PRC 21189.80(g).

Sites will contribute significantly to greenhouse gas emissions. SB 149 states that “the Governor may certify a project as a water-related project for purposes of this chapter only if the Governor finds that
greenhouse gas emissions resulting from the project will be mitigated to the extent feasible.” See PRC § 21189.82(4)(C) (emphasis added). According to a recent study\(^1\), the Sites Project is estimated to emit 362,000 metric tons of CO2E annually, a majority of which will be in the form of methane. This is equivalent to the annual emissions from over 80 thousand gas-powered cars. While construction, operations and maintenance, and recreation activities contribute somewhat to greenhouse gas emissions (GHG), surface emissions due to decomposition of organic matter, discharge of water through turbines, and downstream wetland loss caused by diversion impacts to hydrologic flow were found to be the most significant contributors for the Sites Project. The Sites Project Authority has undercalculated GHG emissions in the RDEIR/SDEIS, and therefore any eventual GHG Reduction Plan will not mitigate emissions “to the extent feasible” as required by SB 149. Id.

Finally, as mentioned previously, the Sites Project Authority has issued two complex and inadequate California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) documents for the proposed reservoir that are not finalized as of October 23, 2023.\(^2\) Until the CEQA documents are finalized, it is inappropriate for OPR to consider this project’s application, and it is moreover inappropriate for the Governor to certify a project that has yet to meet CEQA requirements. Once finalized, those documents must be adequately reviewed by the judicial system. Preemptively certifying a project and rushing the review period undermines CEQA, and harms the environment and state and federal taxpayers.

We thank you for the opportunity to provide our input on this important matter and look forward to working with the Administration and OPR to address our climate goals. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Respectfully submitted,

Ashley Overhouse  
Water Policy Advisor  
Defenders of Wildlife  
AOverhouse@defenders.org

Erin Woolley  
Senior Policy Strategist  
Sierra Club California  
erin.woolley@sierraclub.org

Keiko Mertz  
Policy Director  
Friends of the River  
keiko@friendsoftheriver.org

Regina Chichizola  
Executive Director  
Save California Salmon  
regina@californiasalmon.org

---


\(^2\) Documents published by Sites Project Authority as required by the California Environmental Quality Act and the National Environmental Policy Act in 2017 and 2021, respectively. Available at: https://sitesproject.org/environmental-review/
Johnnie Carlson  
Water Policy Coordinator  
Planning and Conservation League  
johnnie@pcl.org

Eric Buescher  
Managing Attorney  
San Francisco Baykeeper  
eric@baykeeper.org

Victoria Rome  
Director of California Government Affairs  
Natural Resources Defense Council  
vrome@nrdc.org

Glen Spain  
Executive Director  
Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations  
Institute for Fisheries Resources  
fish1ifr@aol.com

Barbara Barrigan Parilla  
Executive Director  
Restore the Delta  
barbara@restorathedelta.org

Chris Shutes  
Executive Director  
California Sportfishing Protection Alliance  
blancapaloma@msn.com

André Sanchez  
Community Engagement & Conservation Policy Manager  
CalWild  
asanchez@calwild.org

Susan Jordan  
Executive Director  
California Coastal Protection Network  
sjordan@coastaladvocates.com

Scott Artis  
Executive Director  
Golden State Salmon Association  
scott@goldenstatesalmon.org

Gary Bobker  
Director, Rivers & Delta Program  
The Bay Institute  
bobker@bay.org
Deirdre Des Jardins  
Executive Director  
California Water Research  
ddj@cah2oresearch.com

CC:

Mr. Jerry Brown  
Executive Director  
Sites Project Authority

The Honorable Mike McGuire  
Incoming Pro-Tempore  
California State Senate

The Honorable Toni Atkins  
President Pro-Tempore  
California State Senate

The Honorable Robert Rivas  
Speaker of the Assembly  
California State Assembly