Tribes, Industry Groups Reach Deal to Boost U.S. Hydroelectric Power

Long at odds, the groups have reached an agreement to increase hydroelectric power production, conservation and energy storage, but it requires Congress to act.

Intakes for the Hyatt Powerplant at California’s Lake Oroville in February. Hydroelectric power output has been substantially impacted by the continuing Western drought.
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After years of fighting, Native American tribes, environmentalists and the hydroelectric power industry say they have reached a deal on a proposed legislative package that could boost clean energy as well as river conservation.
The compromise deal, which would require approval from Congress, is the result of four years of talks between groups that have long been courtroom and policy adversaries because of disagreements involving vanishing fish populations and changes to river ecosystems. Concerns over climate change have helped them find common ground to potentially expand hydroelectric power, a carbon-free energy source, they said.

The deal seeks to grant approvals to add hydroelectric power to some existing dams in as little as two years, while speeding the approval of off-river pumped-storage projects, which store surplus energy for later use, in as little as three years. Another key component would give tribes, instead of the Department of the Interior, authority on the conditions put on permits for things like the protection of tribal cultural resources or fish passage.

Groups supporting the package include the National Hydropower Association, American Rivers, the Skokomish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe and the Union of Concerned Scientists.

“Our respective constituencies have battled each other to a draw for generations,” said Malcolm Woolf, the National Hydropower Association’s chief executive.

Sen. John Barrasso (R., Wyo.) has called the current permitting process for hydropower ‘a wasteful disaster’ because of its yearslong timelines.
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The change would further tribal self-determination, said David Hawkins, general counsel for the Upper Skagit tribe.

The groups plan to send the package on Monday to House and Senate lawmakers and the White House. During a Senate committee hearing in January on how to add hydropower at nonpowered dams, increase pumped storage and maintain existing hydropower, the groups had promised to negotiate and return to lawmakers with a proposal.

Hydroelectric power makes up about 7% of the U.S. electricity mix. Around 281 hydro-generating facilities, making up roughly one-third of non-federally owned generation, are up for re-licensing by 2030. The re-licensing process usually takes more than seven years and new projects take almost as long, a regulatory environment that has been likened to nuclear power approvals.

Republican Sen. John Barrasso of Wyoming, ranking member of the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee, called the current permitting process for hydropower “a wasteful disaster” because of its yearslong timelines. “I look forward to seeing the agreement various stakeholders have reached,” he said Friday.

The proposal would amend the Federal Power Act, first passed in 1920. New Jersey Democratic Rep. Frank Joseph Pallone Jr., chairman of the House Energy and Commerce Committee, said the groups had made an “unprecedented collaborative effort” to modernize hydropower licensing.

He said he was “committed to ensuring that Congress passes legislation that protects and enhances fish and wildlife populations, water quality, recreational activities, and the role of Tribal Nations, while providing industry with the certainty it needs for this important carbon-free resource.”

Advocates will have to navigate a narrowly divided Congress that has been able to find little bipartisan common ground, and say they realize approval isn’t guaranteed.

Mary Pavel, a member of the Skokomish Tribe of Washington and partner at law firm Sonosky, Chambers, Sachse, Endreson & Perry LLP, said the proposed compromise resulted from a series of “uncomfortable conversations.” While most tribes want dams removed to restore the natural flow of rivers, they recognize that won’t happen in many situations, she said.
“Tribes need to be fully at the table as critical governmental agencies whose lands and resources are impacted by these projects,” Ms. Pavel said. “This package allows this to happen in very significant and historic ways.”

Regulators in many parts of the country want to speed the build-out of renewable energy in response to concerns about climate change. But while wind and solar have logged massive growth, they are intermittent sources of energy.

Hydroelectric power output also can vary based on weather—it has been substantially impacted by the continuing Western drought. But it can generally serve as a steady source of electricity, and as a battery through pumped storage projects, which move water uphill to reservoirs when there is excess electricity, then back downhill through turbines when power is needed later.

Environmentalists, industry groups and tribes were brought together in the spring of 2018 by Dan Reicher, senior scholar at Stanford University’s Woods Institute for the Environment and former director of climate and energy for Alphabet Inc.’s Google. Mr. Reicher described the first meeting as an “icy room,” but said the factions eventually warmed to each other.
In the fall, they advocated for river and hydropower projects in the bipartisan infrastructure bill, which ultimately included $2.4 billion for dam safety, upgrading the existing hydroelectric fleet and accelerating dam removals.
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