

Merced River status a slippery slope?

December 27, 2012

By DANA M. NICHOLS - The Record (Stockton)

STOCKTON -- If dozens of California environmental groups are correct, then what happens to a half-mile section of the Merced River near the McClure Lake Reservoir could be an omen of things to come for many other rivers.

The Merced Irrigation District wants to raise McClure's level by 10 feet. That would inundate a small stretch of the Merced, which is currently protected from such development under the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

Congressman Jeff Denham, R-Turlock, wrote a bill that would make that possible by adjusting the Wild and Scenic River boundary on the Merced, moving it a half-mile upstream. That bill passed the House of Representatives in June.

The Senate hasn't acted on it yet. Meanwhile, senators are hearing from alarmed conservationists who say it would be the first time Wild and Scenic status had been revoked for a section of river, and that it could set a precedent for removing other rivers from protection.

Denham disagrees. He has said repeatedly during deliberations in Congress that it won't set a precedent and that it is entirely reasonable for Congress to adjust the boundaries it has set for wild and scenic rivers.

"We should be able to adjust those boundaries, especially if it serves the greater good," Denham said when he introduced the measure.

Denham said that he believes allowing the MID to store and use an additional 70,000 acre-feet of water a year would create 840 jobs.

But Denham has said that environmental restrictions are preventing many other worthy California projects from proceeding.

"We need many more projects like this," he said of the McClure Lake Reservoir plan.

That worries conservationists.

On Dec. 5, representatives of dozens of California environmental organizations, river-advocacy groups, tribes and businesses sent a letter to Sen. Jeff Bingaman, D-New Mexico, chairman of the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources. That letter urged Bingaman to oppose any effort to remove Wild and Scenic protection from even a small part of the Merced.

Denham's bill is pending in Bingaman's committee.

Signers of that letter include Katherine Evatt, president of the Foothill Conservancy, which long has sought to win Wild and Scenic protection for the Mokelumne River, which begins in Calaveras County, and George Wendt, founder of Outdoor Adventure River Specialists, based in Angels Camp.

"This would be the first time a designation has been reversed. Of course this is a very big thing. This is why people are so upset about it. We see it as a very bad precedent," Evatt said.

But the possibility that Congress may remove rivers from protection does not mean that Foothill Conservancy will abandon its effort to get Wild and Scenic status for the Mokelumne.

"Right now we are going to continue to pursue the designation because it is the best way, of any way we have today in law, to protect the river in perpetuity," Evatt said.

Many of those signing the recent letter represent groups such as North Coast Rivers Alliance and Friends of the Eel River focusing on rivers on the north coast of California that currently enjoy wild and scenic protection.

Ron Stork, policy director for Friends of the River, said the north coast groups are worried because there simply isn't enough unallocated water in inland California to feed an enormous cross-delta conveyance proposed to ship water to Southern California.

Stork says that logically, it would appear that water agencies will try to remove the north coast rivers from protection, build reservoirs, and blast tunnels to allow that water to flow into the Central Valley.

"If permanent protection doesn't mean permanent protection anymore, then at least the first part of that problem is solved," Stork said.

<http://www.mercedsunstar.com/2012/12/27/2727102/merced-river-status-a-slippery.html#storylink=cpy>